

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD**COMPANY PETITION NO. 203 of 2015****With****COMPANY PETITION NO. 355 of 2015****With****COMPANY PETITION NO. 62 of 2014**

=====

ILABEN KIRIT SHELAT....Petitioner(s)

Versus

NEESA LEISURE LIMITED & 11....Respondent(s)

=====

Appearance:

MR MITUL K SHELAT, ADVOCATE for the Petitioner(s) No. 1

MR DR BHATT, ADVOCATE for the Respondent(s) No. 5

MR RAVISH D BHATT, ADVOCATE for the Respondent(s) No. 1

NOTICE SERVED BY DS for the Respondent(s) No. 2 , 5 - 6 , 8 , 11

NOTICE UNSERVED for the Respondent(s) No. 3 - 4 , 9 - 10 , 12

SUDHANSHU A JHA, ADVOCATE for the Respondent(s) No. 7

=====

CORAM: **HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE C.L. SONI**

Date : 20/02/2018

ORAL ORDER

1. On 22.07.2017, the Court admitted the present petitions, however, the order for advertisement of the petitions was deferred till 16.01.2018 with direction that till further order made by this Court, the company shall not transfer, alienate or in any manner create any third party interest in its assets and properties.

2. Learned advocate Mr.Bhatt states that against the above order, the company had filed appeal, however, the appeal was disposed of as withdrawn. He further states that the company also could not get

any relief from NCLT, except that NCLT has directed the company to make payment within one month and against the order of NCLT, the company has approached the appellate forum.

3. The Court finds that pendency of the appeal before the appellate forum is no ground for not making further order for advertisement of the petitions.

4. In view of the above, it is ordered that the petitions shall be advertised as per Rule 96 of the Companies (Court) Rules, 1959 (Rules) and in the manner as provided under Rule 24 of the Rules. The advertisement shall be given on or before 8th March 2018 wherein the date of hearing shall be notified as of **28th March 2018**.

vijay

(C.L.SONI, J.)

